1	MILBERG LLP				
2	JEFF S. WESTERMAN (SBN 94559) iwesterman@milberg.com				
	jwesterman@milberg.com NICOLE M. DUCKETT (SBN 198168)				
3	nduckett@milberg.com One California Plaza				
4	300 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 3900	TATES DISTRICT			
5	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 617-1200	Sign Co.			
6	Facsimile: (213) 617-1975				
U	MILBERG LLP	IT IS SO ORDERED A			
7	PETER SAFIRSTEIN psafirstein@milberg.com				
8	ROLAND RIGGS	151			
9	rriggs@milberg.com One Pennsylvania Plaza, 49th Floor	Judge James Ware			
	New York, NY 10119				
10	Telephone: (212) 594-5300 Facsimile: (212) 868-1229				
11	Interim Lead Class Counsel	DISTRICT OF 5/13/2010			
12	Interim Lead Class Counsel				
13					
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14	NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA			
15	NORTHER VEIST				
16	THE NVIDIA GPU LITIGATION) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>)			
17) Case No. C 08-4312 JW			
) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]			
18		ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE			
19	This Document Relates To:)			
20	ALL ACTIONS)			
	ALL ACTIONS.				
21		_)			
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	STIP. AND ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY				
	CUT-OFF DATE				
	Case No. C 08-4312 JW DOCS\513501v1				
	I				

1 | dis 3 | see 4 | pa 5 | Ba 6 | an 7 | eff 8 | Jan 9 | off

The Court, in its Scheduling Order dated May 14, 2009, set dates for class certification, dispositive motions, close of discovery and a preliminary pretrial conference. Since that time, several of the dates were continued, issues specific to the discovery in this case surfaced and the parties continue to engage in extensive settlement efforts, including three mediations to date. Based on new circumstances in the ligation, including (1) currently pending motions to dismiss and for class certification, (2) particularly voluminous discovery and (3) ongoing settlement efforts, the parties request the Court continue the discovery cut-off date from July 12, 2010 to January 21, 2011, and continue using the related time frames stemming from the discovery cut-off and thus the framework of the Court's original Scheduling Order, to allow sufficient time for resolution of important issues prior to the close of discovery.

The parties request continuing the discovery close date to January 2011 because they wish to keep the Court's date format in place but agree among themselves to set additional deadlines - within the Court's Scheduling Order - for close of merits discovery, close of expert discovery and briefing on dispositive motions. The parties intend to end merits discovery some time before the close of discovery and leave more time for expert discovery, and the parties intend to allow more time for dispositive motion briefing. Setting the discovery cut-off for January 21, 2011 allows the parties to respect these agreed upon internal deadlines and still adhere to the time frames set in the Court's Scheduling Order.

1. Pending Motions

The Court decided NVIDIA's first Motion to Dismiss on November 19, 2009. A second Motion to Dismiss was filed that is still in the process of briefing and is set for hearing on June 14, 2010.

The parties will complete briefing on class certification on May 24, 2010, and the Court is set to hear the motion on June 14, 2010.

In addition, the Court set a case management conference for June 14, 2010, vacating the Preliminary Pretrial Conference originally set for that date. The parties believe it is premature to

STIP. AND ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE	₋ 1 -	
Case No. C 08-4312 JW	1	

complete discovery and incur the expense of expert reports before critical issues in the case are decided.

2. Discovery Status

The parties are working together very cooperatively with respect to discovery and, to date, needed the Court's assistance on a motion to compel in only one instance, against a non-party. The parties continue to resolve discovery issues as informally as possible without the need for court intervention. However, the discovery process is time consuming in light of the volume of documents at issue and the number of third parties involved with the case, including 12 original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") plus a supplier, retailers and insurers. The parties and the third parties are meeting and conferring regularly, but a number of discovery issues remain in progress as discovery continues on a rolling basis. For example, one of the primary OEMs agreed to produce documents but is requesting more time, and Plaintiffs would like to extend this courtesy. The parties anticipate the need for additional discovery including depositions of NVIDIA and representatives of non-parties and from designation of expert witnesses.

3. Continued Settlement Efforts

The parties engaged in active settlement efforts with the Honorable Layn R. Phillips (Ret.) and have direct communications among themselves. The parties continue to pursue settlement based on Judge Phillips' observation that litigation costs would be minimized, and the interests of all parties would be best served, by the parties continuing their discussions. In light of these efforts, the parties are attempting to minimize costs by not enlisting outside experts and incurring other litigation expenses that will impact the cost of settlement. Settlement discussions will continue. The parties also believe it is beneficial to have a settled and operative complaint, through adjudication of the pending Motion to Dismiss and adjudication of class certification,

STIP. AND ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY		
CUT-OFF DATE	- 2 -	
Case No. C 08-4312 JW		

before discovery is closed, as was anticipated by the Court in its May 14, 2009 Scheduling Order.¹

For all of these reasons, the parties are in agreement that the discovery cut-off date should be modified as indicated below and adopted by the Court, while continuing to key the dates stemming from the discovery cut-off under the same time spacing as set in the Court's Scheduling Order, which is reproduced below showing the proposed dates.

Case Schedule

DEADLINE/HEARING	CURRENT DATE	PROPOSED DATE
Hearing on Rule 12 Motions	June 14, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. ²	
Close of Briefing on Motion for Class Certification	May 24, 2010 ³	
Hearing on Motion for Class Certification	June 14, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. ⁴	
Close of All Discovery (¶ 9)	July 12, 2010	January 21, 2011
Last Date for Hearing Dispositive Motions (¶ 10) (~60 days after the Close of All Discovery)	September 13, 2010	March 22, 2011
Preliminary Pretrial Conference at 11 a.m. (¶ 12) (~30 days before the Close of All Discovery)		December 13, 2010
Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statements (¶ 11) (Due 10 days before conference)		December 3, 2010

24 ||

⁴ Continued from December 7, 2009 pursuant to March 8, 2010 Order granting Stipulation.

STIP. AND ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE Case No. C 08-4312 JW	-3-	
--	-----	--

¹ Plaintiffs filed the Third Amended Consolidated Complaint which replaces former - voluntarily dismissed - Plaintiff Inicom Networks, Inc. with new name Plaintiffs Nathan DeBockler and John Russo on May 5, 2010, pursuant to the Court's Order.

² Continued from September 28, 2009 pursuant to March 8, 2010 Order granting Stipulation.

³ Continued from November 16, 2009 pursuant to March 8, 2010 Order granting Stipulation.

1 2

, ||

3

45

7

6

9

11

1213

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 |

23

2425

26

2728

STIP. AND ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE Case No. C 08-4312 JW

None of the dates set in this Order may be changed without an order of the Court made after a motion is filed pursuant to the Civil Local Rules of Court.

Standing Order to Lodge Printed Copy of "ECF" Papers

1. In all cases, including cases covered by the Electronic Case Filing System of the Court "ECF," when filing papers in connection with any motion or any pretrial conference, in addition to filing the paper electronically, the filing parties shall lodge with the Clerk's Office a printed copy of the papers, in an envelop clearly marked "Chamber's Copy – Lodged for the Chambers of Judge James Ware." The "Chamber's Copy" envelop must state the case name and case number and be delivered on or before the close of the next court day following the day the papers are filed electronically. See Standing Order Regarding Case Management in Civil Cases.

Compliance with Discovery Plan and Reference to Magistrate Judge

2. The Court adopts the Discovery Plan proposed by the parties in their Joint Case Management Statement. The parties are ordered to comply with the discovery plan. Any disputes with respect to the implementation of the discovery plan and all disclosure or discovery disputes are referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge. In addition, any disputes pertaining to service or joinder of parties or claims are referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge.

Document Management During Pretrial Discovery and Electronic Evidence Presentation

3. This Court has available a digital and video electronic evidence presentation system. Before commencement of pretrial discovery, the parties are ordered to familiarize themselves with the system, and to meet and confer about whether the case will involve voluminous documentary. If so, as the parties identify documentary material which is likely to be used as trial exhibits, the parties are ordered to electronically store these materials in a fashion which will facilitate displaying them electronically during the trial. The parties are reminded that Civil L.R. 30-2(b) requires sequential numbering of exhibits during depositions and that numbering must be maintained for those exhibits throughout the litigation. Each proposed exhibit shall be pre-marked for identification. All exhibits shall be marked with numerals. The

- 4 -

DOCS\513501v1

1 2

Defendant #1: 100,000-299,999; Defendant #2: 300,000-500,000).

3

Disclosure of Expert Witnesses

parties shall meet and confer on a division which will avoid duplication (e.g., Plaintiff: 1-99,000;

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

23

24

26

25

27

28

- 4. Any party wishing to present expert witness testimony with respect to a claim or a defense shall lodge with the Court and serve on all other parties the name, address, qualifications, résumé and a written report which complies with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) 63 days before close of discovery. Expert witness disclosure must be made with respect to a person who is either (a) specially retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony pursuant to Fed.R.Evid. 702 or (b) a regular employee or agent or treating physician who may be called to provide expert opinion testimony.
- 5. The parties are also required to lodge any supplemental reports to which an expert will testify at trial in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B).
- 6. Any party objecting to the qualifications or proposed testimony of an expert must file, serve and notice a motion to exclude the expert or any portion of the expert's testimony in writing in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-2, for hearing no later than 42 DAYS AFTER BOTH EXPERT AND REBUTTAL EXPERT DISCLOSURES ON A MONDAY (LAW **AND MOTION DAY) at 9:00 a.m.** and preferably before or on the same day as the discovery cutoff date at 9:00 a.m.

Rebuttal Expert Witnesses

7. If the testimony of the expert is intended solely to contradict or rebut opinion testimony on the same subject matter identified by another party, the party proffering a rebuttal expert shall make the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), no later than 49 days prior to discovery cutoff.

Limitation on Testimony by Expert Witnesses

8. Unless the parties enter into a written stipulation otherwise, upon timely objection, an expert witness shall be precluded from testifying about any actions or opinions not disclosed prior to the expert's deposition. This is to ensure that all factual material upon which

Ш	I		
l	STIP. AND ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY		
l	CUT-OFF DATE	- 5 -	
l	STIP. AND ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE Case No. C 08-4312 JW		

expert opinion may be based and all tests and reports are completed prior to the expert deposition. Unless application is made prior to the close of expert discovery, each party will be limited to calling only one expert witness in each discipline involved in the case.

Close of Discovery

9. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 26-2, all discovery, including supplemental disclosure, depositions of fact witness and expert witnesses, must be completed on or before the deadline set forth in the Case Schedule above.

Last Date for Hearing Dispositive Motions

10. The last day for hearing dispositive motions is set forth in the Case Schedule above. Any motions must be noticed in accordance with the Civil Local Rules of this Court.

Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference Statement and Proposed Order

- The attorneys who will try the case are ordered to confer with one another and to 11. file and lodge with Chambers on or before the deadline set forth in the Case Schedule above a Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference Statement and Proposed Order, stating their readiness for trial, the amount of time which the Court should allocate for trial and the calendar period for the trial.
- 12. The attorneys who will try the case are ordered to appear on the date set in the Case Schedule at 11:00 a.m. for a Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference.
- 13. With respect to the time allocation for trial, at the Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference trial counsel will be asked to stipulate to a time allocation to each side for the trial of the case. Once a stipulated allocation has been entered, the parties must plan their presentations to conform to the stipulated time allocation.

25

26

27

28

STIP. AND ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY **CUT-OFF DATE** - 6 -Case No. C 08-4312 JW

Case 5:08-cv-04312-JW Document 197 Filed 05/13/10 Page 8 of 12

1	IT IS STIDIU ATED AND AGREED	by and between Plaintiffs and NVIDIA through		
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiffs and NVIDIA, through their counsel of record, subject to Court approval, that the Scheduling Order above be adopted by			
3	the Court.	val, that the Scheduling Order above be adopted by		
4				
5	DATED: May 6, 2010	MILBERG LLP JEFF S. WESTERMAN NICOLE M. DUCKETT		
6				
7		/s/ Nicole M. Duckett NICOLE M. DUCKETT		
8		One California Plaza		
9		300 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 3900 Los Angeles, CA 90071		
10		Telephone: (213) 617-1200 Facsimile: (213) 617-1975		
11		Interim Lead Class Counsel		
12	DATED: May 6, 2010	ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP		
13	DATED: Way 0, 2010	ROBERT P. VARIAN		
14		JAMES NEIL KRAMER JUSTIN MYER LICHTERMAN		
15		JOSHUA DANIEL WATTS		
16		/s/ Justin M. Lichterman		
17		JUSTIN M. LICHTERMAN 405 Howard Street		
18		San Francisco, CA 94105		
19		Telephone: (415) 773-5700 Facsimile: (415) 773-5759		
20		Counsel for Defendant NVIDIA Corporation		
21				
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.AS MODIFIED.			
23				
24	DATED: May 13, 2010	James bee		
25		United States District Judge		
26		$\boldsymbol{\nu}$		
27				
28	STIP. AND ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE Case No. C 08-4312 JW	-7-		

DOCS\513501v1

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CM/ECF AND/OR MAIL

I, the undersigned, declar	e:
----------------------------	----

- 1. That declarant is and was, at all times herein mentioned, employed in the County of Los Angeles, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to or interest in the within action; that declarant's business address is One California Plaza, 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3900, Los Angeles, California 90071-3149.
- 2. Declarant hereby certifies that on May 6, 2010, declarant served the **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE** by electronically filing the foregoing document listed above by using the Case Management/ Electronic Case filing system.
- 3. Declarant further certifies:
 - All participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the court's CM/ECF system
 - Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the court's CM/ECF system. Participants in the case that are not registered CM/ECF users will be served by First-Class Mail, postage pre-paid or have dispatched to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery to the non-CM/ECF participants as addressed and listed in the Service List.
 - 4. That there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the places so addressed.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 6th day of May, 2010, at Los Angeles, California.

Cecille Chaffins

STIP. AND ORDER TO MODIFY DISCOVERY
CUT-OFF DATE
Case No. C 08-4312 JW

Mailing Information for a Case 5:08-cv-04312-JW

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

• James E. Cecchi

jcecchi@carellabyrne.com

• Thomas G Ciarlone, Jr

tciarlone@lawssb.com,tciarlone@lawssb.com

• John Watson Crongeyer

jwc@birdlawgroup.com,ecn@birdlawgroup.com

• Nicole Marie Duckett

nduckett@milberg.com,mbowman@milberg.com,cchaffins@milberg.com

• Eric David Freed

eric@freedweiss.com,paul@freedweiss.com,sherrie@freedweiss.com

• Alreen Haeggquist

alreenh@zhlaw.com,judyj@zhlaw.com

• Kristofor Tod Henning

khenning@morganlewis.com

• Howard Holderness

hholderness@morganlewis.com,cgreenblatt@morganlewis.com

• Whitney Huston

whuston@sturdevantlaw.com,arocha@sturdevantlaw.com,kbecker@sturdevantlaw.com

• Paul R. Kiesel

Kiesel@kbla.com,sharo@kbla.com,jsalgueiro@kbla.com

• Nicholas Koluncich, III

nkoluncich@newmexicoclassactions.com

• George Kevin Lang

george@freedweiss.com

• Justin Myer Lichterman

ilichterman@orrick.com

• John Allen Lowther, IV

john@doylelowther.com

• Alan M. Mansfield

alan@clgca.com,sally@clgca.com

• Heather A. Moser

hmoser@mofo.com,cpeplinski@mofo.com

Case 5:08-cv-04312-JW Document 197 Filed 05/13/10 Page 11 of 12

• Monique Olivier

molivier@sturdevantlaw.com,arocha@sturdevantlaw.com,bnuss@sturdevantlaw.com,kbecker@sturdevantlaw.com

• Paul O. Paradis

pparadis@hhplawny.com,kreid@hhplawny.com

• Ira P. Rothken

ndca@techfirm.com

• Coleen Patricia Schoch

cschoch@orrick.com

• Michael A. Schwartz

mschwartz@hhplawny.com

• Jonathan Shub

jshub@shublaw.com,atorres@seegerweiss.com

• Ralph M. Stone

rstone@lawssb.com

• James C. Sturdevant

jsturdevant@sturdevantlaw.com,arocha@sturdevantlaw.com,bnuss@sturdevantlaw.com,kbecker@sturdevantlaw.com

• Lindsey H. Taylor

ltaylor@carellabyrne.com

• Gina M. Tufaro

gtufaro@hhplawny.com,kreid@hhplawny.com

• Robert P. Varian

rvarian@orrick.com,bclarke@orrick.com

• Joshua Daniel Watts

jwatts@orrick.com,vadelman@orrick.com,pbenetz@orrick.com

• Jeff S. Westerman

jwesterman@milberg.com,nduckett@milberg.com,mbowman@milberg.com,kwong-ervin@milberg.com,rriggs@milberg.com,psafirstein@milberg.com,cchaffins@milberg.com

• Joe R. Whatley, Jr

jwhatley@wdklaw.com,ecf@whatleydrake.com

• Helen I. Zeldes

helenz@zhlaw.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

James V. Bashian

The Law Offices of James V. Bashian, P.C. 500 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2700

New York, NY 10110

Richard J. Burke

Richard J. Burke LLC 1010 Market Street, Suite 650 St. Louis, MO 63101

Edward Y. Kroub

Horwitz Horwitz & Paradis 28 West 44th Street 16th Floor New York, NY 10036

Brant C. Martin

Wick Phillips, LLP 2100 Ross Avenue Suite 950 Dallas, TX 75201

Roland Riggs

Millberg, LLP One Pennsylvania Avenue New York, NY 10119

Robert B. Weiser

The Weiser Law Firm, P.C. 121 N. Wayne Avenue, Suite 100 Wayne, PA 19087

Paul M. Weiss

Freed & Weiss LLC 111 W. Washington Street, Suite 1331 Chicago, IL 60602